App. F. IX-4

Previous References

192 8
([illegible])

File No. 54 28

Serial Nos. / - 2

Later References

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos.

Part B for [illegible] 1928

SUBJECT

[illegible]

G. R. S. APP F.III—1]

1928.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(Inuzrai)

NOTES. File No. 54/1928

FILE HEADING.

Transfer of the management of certain Inuzrai rights at Hebbur in the Tumkur Taluk to the Village Panchayat at the place.

FROM

The Inuzrai Comr.

No. C 6 Dated 11. 8. 1928. Received

Diary No	Enclos. 1 file	Spare copies —	Serial No
251			1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER

Recommends for reasons stated, that the management of the two minor and three Village rights at Hebbur may for the present be entrusted to the Village Panchayat, the term being limited to the still unexpired portion of the present Panchayat.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

The M.C's letter goes fully into the facts of the case

Submit for order. The L.S. Secretary may be consulted if necessary

28.8.28

(Minister)

2. Please note the Inam grants &c. These restrictions must

29.8.28

OFFICE OF THE
REVENUE COUNCIL
28 AUG. 1928
No. 1236

NOTES—First Sheet.

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No. 57/ of 192 6.

Serial No.

1

A Statement showing the annual figures of the Swiss, is placed on the file

Submr

AH 4.9.28.

WH Hopper 19/9

AH 19/9

19/9

The M's recommendation may be c[illegible]

[illegible] 20

AH 20/9

D/S G.O Submr

AH 1.10.28.

WH Hopper

Jam AH 1/10

2 To mur Comr & Comptr

No 724-25 dy 3/5.10.28

Sanctions the M's recommendation limiting the period to the still unexpired portion of the pres[illegible] Passenger

15 SEP. 1928 No 1880

NOTE—Contn Sheet

WD 01714—GPB—30,000—18-4 28

Statement showing the inam grants of 5 insts rep. by the

1. Byatanaya Swamy:—

(a) Inam lands - measuring 1 a 8 - 19 and assessed at Rs 38 8-0 with a jodi of - 12-8-0 (vide - g in accts,
estimated annual income = Rs 95.

(b) Annual Cash grant - Rs A. 14 - 1 - 0

2 Varadanaya Swamy:—

a) Inam lands - measuring 5 a, and assessed at Rs 8-4-0 with a jodi of Rs 7-11-0.
estimated annual income — Rs 25/.

(b) annual cash grant — Rs 11 - 10 — 0

3 Kodanda Ramesmara: —

(a) inam lands measuring 13 a - 19 g and assessed at Rs 14-8-0 with a jodi of Rs a. 1-1-0
with an income of Rs 30/.

(b) annual Cash grant — Rs 7-4-0

4. Veerabhadra Devaru: —

(a) inam lands measuring 8 a - 9 g, and assessed at Rs 13-4-0 with a jodi of Rs 5 13
estimated annual income — 30

(b) Cash grant Rs 14-10-0

5. Vinayaka Devaru.

inam lands measuring 11 a - 30 g and assessed at Rs 11-4 with jodi nil.
annual income - - Rs 30/.

M. C. 13]

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Bangalore... 10th August.....1928.

No. C.L. 2441/28-9

FROM

P. G. D'Souza, Esq., B.A., B.L., 13
The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

11 A ..28

251

B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His
Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Financial Department.

SIR,

I have the honor to submit herewith copy of letter No.M.2021-27 28 dated 23rd June 1928 from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District soliciting sanction of Government to hand over the management of --- certain Muzrai institutions at Hebbur in the Tumkur Taluk to the --- village Panchayat at the place.

2. Of the five institutions noted in the Deputy Commissioner's - letter two viz., Pyataravaswami and Veerabhadradevaru are minor Muzrai institutions and the remaining three are village institutions. The former two are contributing a sum of Rs.13/- to the Muzrai Establishment fund under the authority of G.O.No.1220-21/M.F.341-26-6 dated - 31st January 1928.

3. As regards village institutions Government have directed in - their order No.2514-25/M.F.371-13-2 dated 2nd April 1917 that they - may be left in charge of the village panchayets where such exist, or in the care of Pujaris under the control of the local officials, the Patel and Shanbhog, if in a revenue village or, of the holders of - similar offices, if in a municipal town. Thus there seems to be no objection to transfer the control of the three village institutions to the village panchayat, subject to the rules of management of ---- village Muzrai institutions published under the authority of G.O. No. 2407-2420/M.F.126-17-2 dated 18th February 191[illegible]

4. As regards Minor institutions, section 25 of the Mysore village

E (copy put up) Panchavet Regulation (11 of 1926) is as follows:--

"The Government may, by a general or special order, entrust the management of Minor Muzrai Institutions and supervision over village schools in the area under the control of any Panchayat and -- prescribe such rules as may be necessary for their management and - supervision."

Under Sec.6 of the Muzrai Regulation, Dharmadarsis are appointed to manage the affairs of one or more Muzrai institutions and the -- powers and duties assigned to them are regulated by the rules and instructions issued in Government orders No.1467/M.F.226-26-5 dated 22nd February 1928 and 1933-1/M.F.226-26-6 dated 21st April 1928. Rules for the management of this class of institutions are also issued in G.O.No.3067-30/M.F.421-17-9 dated 3rd April 1919.

5. It seems to me that instead of having separate committees of - Dharmadarsis, the powers of management may be entrusted to the -- village Panchayats, the local Muzrai officers exercising the same -- powers and control over the village Panchayats so far as Muzrai --- matters are concerned, as they now have over the committee of ---- Dharmadarsis. In this view I beg to recommend that the management of the two minor and three village institutions at Hebbur may for the present be entrusted to the village Panchayat, the term being limited to the still unexpired portion of the present Panchayat.

6. The file of vernacular papers is enclosed for reference and original return

I have the honor

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

Muzrai Commissioner

Letter No.M.2021-27-28 dated 25rd June 1928 from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

In submitting herewith the records ending with Tumkur Sub - Division Officer's memo No.P.R.384/27-28 dated the 3-5-1928 proposing that the management of the Muzrai institutions noted in the margin - which are at Hebbur may be entrusted to the village Panchayet. I have the honor to state that the Amildar reports that the chairman of the village Panchayet at Hebbur in Tumkur Taluk has sent a copy of the the proceedings wherein it has been stated that much inconvenience has been caused for the conduct of sevas at present and that the -- authorities should be requested to entrust the management of the --- several Muzrai institutions at Hebbur to the village Panchayet under section 25 of the Panchayet Regulation and recommends that as Hebbur is a model Panchayet, the institutions may be entrusted to the Panchayet as an experimental measure.

The Sub Division officer recommends that the management of the institutions may be entrusted to the village Panchayet. I therefore request that sanction of Government may kindly be obtained to ---- entrust the management of the marginally noted Muzrai institutions

1. Byatarayaswami
2. Varadarayaswami
3. Kodandarameswara
4. Veerabhadra Devaru
5. Vinayaka Devaru.

to the village Panchayet at Hebbur for a period of 3 -- years."

"C o p y"

For Muzrai Commissioner.

G.R.S. App. F VI G-2]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

Date of issue.....

Signed by.....

Sec

File No.

Serial No.

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read—

Letter no C.6 dy 11th August 1928 from the D.C. in Mysore.

in extension. (Para 8 1155).

May this be

i Printed ?

ii treated as a standing order ?

iii published in the Gazette, English Part ?

iv translated ?

v placed at the disposal of the press ?

vi published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

Order Thereon No.

The D.C's recommendation to hand over the management of 5 Sthls to the Village Panchayat is sanctd. The term being limited to

*1 Byatarayaswamy
2. Varadarajaswamy
3. Kodandaramaswamy
4. Veerabhadra Devaru.
5. Vinayaka Devaru

The Still unexpired portion
of the present Pandaya

Print.

To

List of enclosure.

Copied by

Examined by

WD 0326—GPB—12,500—26-5 27

Government of his highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

G O. No. 724-5—Muz. 54-28-2, dated 3rd—5th October 1928.

Transfer of Management.

Sanctions the —— of some Muzrai Institutions at Hebbur to the Village Panchayet.

READ—

Letter No. C. 6, dated 11th August 1928, from the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore:—

"I have the honor to submit herewith copy of letter No. M. 2021 of 1927-28, dated 23rd June 1928, from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, soliciting sanction of Government to hand over the management of certain Muzrai Institutions at Hebbur in the Tumkur Taluk to the Village Panchayet at the place.

2. Of the five institutions noted in the Deputy Commissioner's letter, two, *viz.*, Byatarayaswami and Veerabhadradevaru are minor Muzrai institutions and the remaining three are village institutions. The former two are contributing a sum of Rs. 13 to the Muzrai Establishment fund under the authority of G. O. No. 1220-21—M. F. 341-26-6, dated 31st January 1928.

3. As regards village institutions, Government have directed in their order No. 2514-25—M. F. 371-13-2, dated 2nd April 1917, that they may be left in charge of the Village Panchayets where such exist, or in the care of Pujaris under the control of the local officials, the Patel and Shanbhog, if in a revenue village or, of the holders of similar offices, if in a Municipal town. Thus there seems to be no objection to transfer the control of the three village institutions to the village panchayet, subject to the rules of management of village Muzrai Institutions published under the authority of G. O. No. 2407-2420—M. F. 126-17-2, dated 18th February 1919.

4. As regards Minor Institutions Section 25 of the Mysore Village Panchayet Regulation (11 of 1926), is as follows:—

"The Government may, by a general or special order, entrust the management of Minor Muzrai Institutions and supervision over village schools in the area under the control of any Panchayet and prescribe such rules as may be necessary for their management and supervision."

Under Section 6 of the Muzrai Regulation, Dharmadarsis are appointed to manage the affairs of one or more Muzrai Institutions and the powers and duties assigned to them are regulated by the rules and instructions issued in Government Orders No. 1467—M. F. 226-26-5, dated 22nd February 1928 and No. 1933-4—M. F. 226-26-6, dated 21st April 1928. Rules for the management of this class of institutions are also issued in G. O. No. 3067-80—M. F. 421-17-9, dated 3rd April 1919.

5. It seems to me that instead of having separate committees of Dharmadarsis, the powers of management may be entrusted to the Village Panchayets, the local Muzrai Officers exercising the same powers and control over the Village Panchayets so far as Muzrai matters are concerned, as they now have over the Committee of Dharmadarsis. In this view I beg to recommend that the management of the two minor and three village institutions at Hebbur may, for the present, be entrusted to the Village Panchayet, the term being limited to the still unexpired portion of the present Panchayet.

ORDER NO. 724-5—MUZ. 54-28-2, DATED 3RD—5TH OCTOBER 1928.

The Muzrai Commissioner's recommendation to hand over to the Village Panchayet, the management of the marginally noted five institutions, is sanctioned, the term being limited to the still unexpired portion of the present Panchayet.

1. Byatarayaswamy.
2. Varadarayaswami.
3. Kodandarameswara Swami.
4. Veerabhadradevaru.
5. Vinayakadevaru.

For Secretary to Government,
Financial Department.

To—The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore, returning enclosures.
The Comptroller to the Government of Mysore

Exd—C.K.

W D 1188 GPB- 325- 15 10 28